## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BackWeb Techno	ologies, Ltd.	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 09-1224 CW
	v. ation, iAnywhere Solutions, and Symantec Corporation Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	el report that they have met and ulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 10	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	ree to participate in the following	ng ADR process:
Court	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably m ADR phone co	ore likely to meet their needs th	ement conference with a Magistrate Judge is an any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Privat ✓	e <b>Process:</b> Private ADR ( <i>please identify p</i>	process and provider) JAMS Mediation
The parties ag		deadline is 90 days from the date of the order rocess unless otherwise ordered.)
✓	other requested deadline by Ap	ril 9, 2010
Dated: Aug. 25	, 2009	/s/ Bruce Wecker Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ P. Thayer, M. Fowler, D. Nelson

Attorney for Defendant

Dated: Aug. 25, 2009

## Case4:09-cv-01224-CW Document58 Filed08/27/09 Page2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## | PROPOSED | ORDER

Pursuant to	the	Stip	ılati	on	above,	the	captioned	matter	is	hereby	referred	to:
		4.										

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

✓ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other April 9, 2010

IT IS SO ORDERED.

8/27/09

Dated:\_\_\_\_\_

Chrolieleit

Honorable Claudia Wilken UNITED STATES DISTRICT

**JUDGE**